SKU JOURNAL OF LEX VIBRENT
(NATIONAL PEER REVIEWED E-RESEARCH JOURNAL)
Impact Factor – SJIF 2025 = 5.071
July–September 2026
E-ISSN 3048-7323
Vol-3
Issue-3

A Comprehensive Analysis of the Taxation of Different Business Entity Structures: Sole Proprietorships, Partnerships and Corporations

Ankit Dixit
Assistant Professor
Department of Law
Shri Krishna University, Chhatarpur (M.P.)

ABSTRACT

The choice of business structure has significant legal, financial and taxation consequences for business owners and investors. In India, businesses may operate through different organisational forms, including sole proprietorships, partnership firms and companies. Although each structure may undertake similar commercial activities, their taxation, compliance requirements, liability framework and treatment of business income differ substantially.

This research paper provides a comparative analysis of the taxation of sole proprietorships, partnership firms and companies in India. It examines the manner in which business income is taxed, the applicable tax rates, the treatment of profits, remuneration and distributions, and the compliance obligations associated with each form of business organisation. The paper also considers the relationship between the legal personality of the entity and its tax liability. Particular attention is given to the changing Indian income-tax framework. The Income-tax Act, 1961, which historically governed the taxation of business entities, was repealed with effect from 1 April 2026 following the enactment of the Income-tax Act, 2025. The new Act applies to Tax Year 2026–27 onwards, while earlier assessment years continue to be governed by the previous legislation.

The paper argues that taxation should be considered alongside factors such as limited liability, regulatory compliance, continuity, access to investment and distribution of profits when selecting an appropriate business structure. No single structure is universally advantageous; rather, the appropriate form depends upon the size, nature, ownership and long-term objectives of the business.

KEYWORDS

Business Entities, Sole Proprietorship, Partnership, Company, Corporate Taxation, Income Tax, Business Income, Tax Liability, Tax Compliance, Indian Tax Law.

1. INTRODUCTION

The legal form through which a business operates has a direct impact upon its taxation and financial obligations. A person carrying on business individually is treated differently from a partnership firm, while a company is recognised as a separate legal entity and is subject to a

distinct corporate tax framework. Therefore, understanding the tax consequences of different business structures is essential for entrepreneurs, professionals, investors, tax practitioners and legal advisors.

A sole proprietorship represents the simplest form of business organisation. The business and its proprietor are not separate legal persons for income-tax purposes. Consequently, the income generated from the business is generally included in the proprietor's individual taxable income and taxed according to the applicable individual tax regime. A partnership firm, on the other hand, involves two or more persons carrying on business pursuant to a partnership arrangement. For income-tax purposes, the firm has its own tax liability. The tax treatment of partnership firms therefore differs from the taxation of individual proprietors. The Income Tax Department presently states that, for Assessment Year 2026–27, a partnership firm, including an LLP, is taxable at 30%, subject to applicable surcharge and health and education cess.

A company represents a more formal business structure. It possesses a separate legal personality and its income is subject to corporate taxation. Domestic companies may be subject to different rates depending upon the applicable statutory provisions and the tax regime selected. For Assessment Year 2026–27, the Income Tax Department lists rates including 25%, 22% under Section 115BAA, 15% for specified business income under Section 115BAB, and 30% for other domestic companies, subject to the applicable conditions.

The taxation of business entities cannot, however, be examined merely by comparing nominal tax rates. A meaningful comparison must also consider deductions, remuneration, distribution of profits, compliance costs, liability of owners, accounting requirements, administrative burden and the possibility of reinvesting profits within the business.

The subject has acquired additional significance because India's income-tax framework has undergone a major legislative transition. The Income Tax Department states that the Income-tax Act, 1961 stands repealed with effect from 1 April 2026 pursuant to the Income-tax Act, 2025. The new Act governs tax payments and compliance for Tax Year 2026–27 onwards, whereas periods relating to earlier assessment years continue under the 1961 Act.

Thus, the taxation of business entities must be understood within both the traditional framework and the emerging statutory regime.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The present research adopts a doctrinal and comparative legal research methodology.

The research is primarily based upon:

1. Statutory provisions governing income taxation and business organisations;
2. Official materials and guidance issued by the Income Tax Department;
3. Relevant provisions relating to companies and partnership organisations;
4. Government notifications and tax-related materials;

5. Secondary literature relating to business taxation and organisational structures; and
6. Comparative analysis of the taxation and compliance framework applicable to different forms of business entities.

The study follows a comparative approach, under which sole proprietorships, partnership firms and companies are examined with reference to their legal character, taxation of business income, tax rates, treatment of profits, compliance requirements and practical advantages and disadvantages. The research also incorporates the recent transition to the Income-tax Act, 2025 in order to ensure that the analysis reflects the contemporary Indian taxation framework.

3. RESEARCH SCOPE

3.1 Review of Literature

Existing literature on business taxation generally examines the relationship between the legal structure of an enterprise and its tax consequences. Taxation literature recognises that the form in which a business is organised affects not only the rate at which income is taxed but also the manner in which profits are distributed and the extent of compliance required.

Legal literature concerning sole proprietorships generally emphasises their simplicity and the absence of a separate legal personality. Partnership literature focuses upon the relationship between partners, allocation of profits and the taxation of the firm. Corporate taxation literature, in contrast, focuses upon the separate legal identity of companies, corporate income taxation, dividend distribution and statutory compliance. A comparative approach is therefore necessary because examining tax rates alone does not provide a complete picture of the financial consequences associated with each business structure.

3.2 Research Gap

A significant research gap exists in analysing taxation and business structure together rather than treating tax rates as an isolated issue.

Many discussions focus primarily on whether a particular entity has a higher or lower tax rate. However, the actual tax burden may also depend upon:

- the method of computing taxable income;
- deductions and allowable business expenditure;
- remuneration paid to owners or partners;
- distribution or retention of profits;
- compliance costs;
- accounting and audit requirements;
- liability of the owners; and
- the future expansion plans of the enterprise.

Further, the recent replacement of the Income-tax Act, 1961 by the Income-tax Act, 2025 creates a need for updated comparative research.

3.3 Hypothesis

The hypothesis of this research is that the taxation of a business cannot be assessed solely on the basis of the applicable tax rate; rather, the overall tax and economic burden depends upon the interaction between the legal structure of the entity, taxation of business income, distribution of profits, compliance obligations and liability of the owners. It is further hypothesised that while sole proprietorships may offer greater simplicity, partnership firms may provide organisational flexibility, and companies may provide greater opportunities for capital formation and limited liability, the tax advantages of each structure vary according to the nature and scale of the business.

3.4 Objectives of The Research

The principal objectives of this research are:

1. To examine the taxation framework applicable to different forms of business entities in India.
2. To understand the tax treatment of sole proprietorships, partnership firms and companies.
3. To comparatively analyse the tax liability associated with each structure.
4. To examine the relationship between legal personality and tax liability.
5. To study the effect of profit distribution and retention on taxation.
6. To analyse the compliance requirements associated with different business structures.
7. To identify the advantages and disadvantages of different structures from a taxation perspective.
8. To examine the significance of the Income-tax Act, 2025 in the contemporary taxation framework.
9. To determine whether one business structure can be regarded as universally more tax-efficient than the others.
10. To provide suggestions for selecting an appropriate business structure from legal and taxation perspectives.

3.5 Taxation of Sole Proprietorships

A sole proprietorship is the simplest form of business organisation in which one individual owns and operates the business. There is generally no separate legal personality between the proprietor and the business. From an income-tax perspective, the business income is treated as income of the proprietor. Therefore, the proprietor is taxed under the provisions applicable to an individual rather than under a separate corporate tax regime.

This feature creates an important distinction between a sole proprietorship and a company. The proprietor does not pay income tax in the name of an independent corporate entity merely because the business is operated under a trade name. For Assessment Year 2026–27, the Income Tax Department provides separate individual tax regimes, including the default regime under Section 115BAC of the Income-tax Act, 1961. For individuals below 60 years of age, the new-regime slabs range from a nil rate up to ₹4 lakh to a maximum marginal slab of 30% above ₹24 lakh, subject to applicable provisions and rebates.

Advantages

The principal advantages include:

- simple organisational structure;
- relatively lower organisational costs;
- direct control by the proprietor;
- comparatively simpler decision-making;
- business income being assessed in the proprietor's hands; and
- flexibility in withdrawing or using business profits.

Disadvantages

The principal disadvantages include:

- absence of a separate legal personality;
- potentially unlimited personal liability;
- dependence upon the proprietor;
- comparatively limited ability to raise large-scale capital; and
- tax liability being linked to the proprietor's individual income position.

Consequently, sole proprietorship may be suitable for small businesses and individual entrepreneurs, but it may become less attractive where the business involves substantial financial risk or requires significant external investment.

3.6 Taxation of Partnership Firms

A partnership represents a business association in which partners agree to carry on a business and share its profits. The tax treatment differs from that of a sole proprietorship because the partnership firm is itself subject to taxation.

The Income Tax Department states that for Assessment Year 2026–27, a partnership firm including an LLP is taxable at 30%. A surcharge of 12% applies where taxable income exceeds ₹1 crore, subject to marginal relief, and health and education cess is applicable. The taxation of a partnership firm also involves the treatment of payments made to partners. Remuneration and interest paid to partners may have tax implications at the firm level and must satisfy the statutory requirements for deductibility. A significant feature of partnership taxation is therefore that the

tax consequences must be considered both at the level of the firm and in relation to the amounts received by partners.

Advantages

- greater flexibility compared with companies;
- pooling of capital and expertise;
- shared management and responsibility;
- separate tax treatment of the firm; and
- suitability for professional and closely held businesses.

Disadvantages

- comparatively significant tax rate;
- compliance requirements;
- potential disputes between partners;
- liability concerns depending upon the form of partnership; and
- restrictions associated with raising large amounts of public capital.

The Income Tax Department also recognises LLPs within the partnership-firm tax category for the relevant assessment year.

3.7 Taxation of Companies

A company is a distinct legal entity separate from its shareholders. This separate legal personality has significant implications for taxation. The company's taxable income is assessed separately from the income of its shareholders. Consequently, the company is responsible for payment of corporate income tax on its taxable profits. For Assessment Year 2026–27, the Income Tax Department lists several rates for domestic companies. Depending upon the applicable conditions and election of a special regime, the rate may be 25%, 22% under Section 115BAA, 15% for specified business income under Section 115BAB, or 30% for other domestic companies. Applicable surcharge and health and education cess are additional.

The corporate structure also provides the possibility of retaining profits within the company for future expansion. This can be particularly important for businesses seeking to reinvest profits rather than immediately distribute them to owners.

Advantages

- separate legal personality;
- limited liability of shareholders;
- greater potential for capital raising;
- continuity of existence;
- greater suitability for large-scale operations;
- possibility of retaining profits for reinvestment; and
- comparatively structured governance.

Disadvantages

- greater regulatory compliance;
- higher administrative costs;
- statutory record-keeping requirements;
- corporate governance obligations; and
- comparatively greater complexity in establishment and operation.

Therefore, while companies may provide significant legal and commercial advantages, those advantages come with a higher level of regulatory and compliance responsibility.

3.9 Tax Rates and Their Significance

- Tax rates are an important factor in determining the choice of business structure, but they should not be considered in isolation.
- For example, an individual proprietor may be subject to progressive individual tax rates, meaning that the effective rate depends upon the proprietor's total taxable income. The Income Tax Department's current individual guidance for AY 2026–27 provides different rates under the old and new regimes.
- A partnership firm, by comparison, is taxed at a flat 30% for AY 2026–27, subject to surcharge and cess.
- Companies may have access to different corporate tax regimes. A domestic company opting for Section 115BAA, for example, is listed at a 22% income-tax rate, subject to applicable conditions and additional charges.
- Thus, the appropriate structure may change depending upon the amount of income, the intention to distribute or retain profits and the nature of the business.

3.10 Tax Compliance and Administrative Burden

Taxation is not limited to the payment of tax. Every business entity must comply with applicable filing, accounting, documentation and reporting requirements. A sole proprietor generally benefits from a comparatively simpler organisational framework. Partnership firms require maintenance of partnership records and compliance with applicable tax requirements. Companies face more extensive statutory and corporate compliance obligations.

The cost of compliance should therefore be considered while choosing a business structure. For a small business, the administrative burden associated with incorporation and corporate compliance may outweigh the potential advantages of a company. Conversely, for a rapidly growing enterprise, the advantages of limited liability, continuity and access to capital may justify the additional compliance burden.

3.11 The Transition to The Income-Tax ACT, 2025

One of the most significant developments in Indian taxation is the replacement of the Income-tax Act, 1961. The Income Tax Department confirms that the Income-tax Act, 1961 stands repealed with effect from 1 April 2026, pursuant to the Income-tax Act, 2025.

The new Act applies to Tax Year 2026–27 onwards, while the 1961 Act continues to govern earlier periods, including relevant assessment years relating to periods before the new regime takes effect. The Income Tax Department describes the objective of the new Act as simplifying and modernising the tax framework, reducing complexity and consolidating provisions into a more accessible structure. This transition is particularly important for businesses because tax planning, compliance and professional advice must now take account of the applicable legislation according to the relevant tax year.

4. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

The taxation of business entities is closely interconnected with the legal structure in which business activities are conducted. Sole proprietorships, partnership firms and companies represent fundamentally different forms of organisation and therefore produce different tax, legal and compliance consequences. A sole proprietorship provides simplicity, direct control and comparatively fewer organisational formalities. However, the absence of a separate legal identity and the possibility of unlimited liability can become significant disadvantages as the business expands. Partnership firms provide an intermediate model based upon cooperation between partners. They may be appropriate for closely held enterprises and professional businesses.

However, their tax treatment and partner-related payments require careful consideration. Companies provide a more formal structure with separate legal personality and limited liability. They are particularly suitable for businesses requiring substantial capital, continuity and expansion. At the same time, companies are subject to comparatively greater regulatory and compliance obligations.

The research therefore establishes that tax efficiency alone should not determine the choice of business entity. Entrepreneurs should evaluate the complete economic and legal consequences of the chosen structure, including tax liability, profit distribution, liability protection, administrative costs, financing requirements and long-term growth objectives.

The transition from the Income-tax Act, 1961 to the Income-tax Act, 2025 further demonstrates the importance of keeping business-tax advice updated. The Income Tax Department has confirmed that the new Act governs Tax Year 2026–27 onwards, while earlier periods remain governed by the previous framework.

SUGGESTIONS

1. Entrepreneurs should select a business structure after considering both taxation and liability protection.
2. Small businesses may consider simpler structures where the risks and capital requirements are limited.
3. Businesses expecting substantial expansion should evaluate the advantages of incorporation and limited liability.
4. Tax planning should be based on the complete effective tax burden rather than merely the headline tax rate.
5. Professional advice should be obtained where the business involves significant investment, multiple owners or complex transactions.
6. Legal and tax professionals should carefully identify whether a transaction falls under the Income-tax Act, 1961 or the Income-tax Act, 2025, depending upon the relevant period.
7. Businesses should maintain proper books, records and supporting documentation to minimise disputes and facilitate compliance.

REFERENCES

Primary Sources

1. Income-tax Act, 2025, Government of India.
2. Income-tax Act, 1961, Government of India — relevant for assessment years governed by the earlier legislation.
3. Income-tax Rules, 2026, Government of India.
4. Companies Act, 2013, Government of India.
5. Relevant Finance Acts and notifications issued by the Government of India.
6. Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT), Government of India.